





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,477	12/11/2001	Masahiro Imoto	1830/50521	4095
23911	7590 05/07/2003			
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			EXAMINER	
			RAO, DEEPAK R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/009,477

Applicant(s)

lmoto et al.

Examiner

Deepak Rao

Art Unit 1624

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 11, 2	
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance colosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u>	
2	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 3-35, and 37-40	📆/are rejected.
7) 💢	Claim(s) 2 and 36	Ø/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	• •
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
. a) L	□ All b)□ Some* c)⊠ None of:	
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·
1 →, □ a) □	¬	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm	-	
1) 💢 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on February 11, 2003.

Claims 1-40 are pending in this application.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 1-34 (in part) drawn to tetrahydropyrimidine compounds in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Note: Affirmation of the election was not made by the applicant which was required in the previous office action.

Applicant also elected Compound No. 2 (page 29, Table 1) as the species for examination. The species represents a compound of formula (I) wherein A¹ and R⁷-R¹² are hydrogen and A² is 6-chloro-pyrid-3-yl. The elected species was not found in the prior art and the search was expanded (as per the guidelines of MPEP § 803.02) to compounds of formula (I) wherein X is -CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-; A¹ is H and A² is optionally substituted pyridyl and art was found.

The subject matter of compounds of formula (I) wherein X is $-(CR^1,R^2)-C(R^3,R^4)-$, $-C(R^5)=(R^6)-$, $-C(R^{13},R^{14})-C(R^{15},R^{16})-$ NH- and species of imidazoline, 1,2,4-triazine, etc. in claim 2 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Art Unit: 1624

The following rejections are withdrawn:

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph of the previous office action are withdrawn in view of the amendments.

Page 3

The following rejections are maintained:

1. Claims 5-21 and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of the diseases that require an activator for $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, does not reasonably provide enablement for **preventing** of the same. The reasons provided in the previous office action are incorporated here by reference.

Applicant's arguments and the Declaration by Dr. Tani are fully considered but they were not deemed to be persuasive. Based on the results provided in the declaration, Dr. Tani concludes that the compounds of the instant claims have $\alpha 4\beta 2$ agonistic activity and therefore, "may exhibit potential cognition-enhancing properties in animal model of Alzheimer's disease". However, there is no conclusive evidence that the compounds are useful in "preventing" all of the diseases, including Alzheimer's disease, etc.

As indicated in the previous office action, **preventing** actually means to anticipate or counter in advance or to keep from happening and applicant has not provided any guidance related to this issue, neither in the disclosure nor in the prior art. First, applicant relies on the $\alpha 4\beta 2$ agonistic activity of the compounds to support the instant claims, however, applicant has

Art Unit: 1624

not provided any evidence to the effect that the instant compounds are useful in "preventing" the recited conditions in general. The administration techniques provided in the specification are common practice of 'therapeutic treatment' which is known to the skilled artisan. The claims specifically recite 'preventing' of the diseases, which means to 'counter in advance' and not as a follow-up of a treatment by which the composition has already been administered.

Discussion based on several references clearly highlighting the unpredictability of the claimed activity have been provided in the previous office action. Further, MPEP § 2164.02 clearly states that a reasonable *in vitro/in vivo* correlation needs to be established for the claimed activity, which is clearly lacking in the instantly claimed 'preventing' activity. Applicants has not provided sufficient basis to conclude that the instantly claimed compounds can be used in preventing various diseases of the claims. In view of the above, it is maintained that the specification is enabling for the use of the claimed $\alpha 4\beta 2$ agonists in treatment of the diseases and does not provide for the prevention of the same.

- 2. Claims 1, 3-9 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Maguire et al., WO 01/10842 (published Feb 15, 2001), for the reasons provided in the previous office action which are incorporated here by reference.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-9 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolaczkowska et al., Chem. Abstract 112:35878, for the reasons provided in the previous office action which are incorporated here by reference.

Art Unit: 1624

4. Claims 1, 3-9 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frei et al., GB 2082577, for the reasons provided in the previous office action which are incorporated here by reference.

- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwok, Chem. Abstract 89:179949, for the reasons provided in the previous office action which are incorporated here by reference.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-21 and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker et al., Chem. Abstract 87:111268, for the reasons provided in the previous office action which are incorporated here by reference.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-21 and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Upshall, Chem. Abstract 77:70055, for the reasons provided in the previous office action which are incorporated here by reference.

Applicant's arguments for each of the rejections under 102 are fully considered but they were not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that 'the reference compounds are clearly different from the claimed invention'. However, the claim recites A² to be "an optionally substituted heterocyclic group" and each of the references used in the rejections above provide an 'optionally' substituted pyridyl group attached to the 2-position of the 1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine.

Art Unit: 1624

Maguire et al. (WO'842) discloses a 1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine substituted at the 2-position by a pyrid-3-yl group having a 2-position substituent of [(2-methoxy-5-nitrophenyl)methyl]thio which fits the recitation of an 'optional substituent'.

Page 6

Kolaczkowska et al., discloses a 1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine substituted at the 2-position by an unsubstituted pyrid-2-yl or a 4-amino-pyrid-3-yl, both of which correspond to the instant recitation of an 'optionally substituted heterocyclic group'.

Similarly, the other references also disclose 1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine having 'an optionally substituted pyridyl group' attached to the 2-position.

Applicant has not provided how these compounds differ from the claimed invention.

The following rejections are necessitated by the amendment:

- 1. Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Maguire et al., WO 01/10842. Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by:
 - (a) Kolaczkowska et al., 112:35878
 - (b) Frei et al., GB 2082577
 - (c) Kwok, 89:179949
 - (d) Walker, 87:111268, or
 - (e) Upshall, 77:70055, for the reasons provided in the previous office action.

The above claims were not treated on merits in the previous office action.

Page 7

Art Unit: 1624

2. Claims 1, 3-9, 35 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Munro et al., WO 94/22851. The instantly claimed compounds read on the reference disclosed compounds, see compounds of Example 1 (page 9), Examples 4 and 7 in Table 1 (page 11) and Example 9 (page 12). The instant claim 35 recites that 'A¹ and A² are each a hydrogen atom, optionally substituted alkyl group or heterocyclic group selected from pyridine substituted with one or more of halogen atom'. The reference disclosed compound, e.g., Example 4 is identical to the claimed compound of formula (I) wherein A¹ is 6-chloro-3-pyridyl; A² is dichloronitromethyl and R⁷-R¹² are hydrogen. The intended use recitation in the composition claims is not given any patentable weight.

Note: Claims 1 and 35 read on 1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine which is available in Aldrich catalog 29333-4.

Duplicate Claims

Applicant is advised that should claims 3-4 be found allowable, claims 5-9 and 14-18 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). In the instant case, claims 5-9 and 14-18 recite an intended use of the composition or medicament without setting

Art Unit: 1624

forth any positive steps or limitations and accordingly, they are substantial duplicates of claims 3 and 4 respectively.

Applicant did not respond to the above warning in the amendment filed on February 11, 2003.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 36 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim **and** for containing non-elected subject matter, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and <u>limited to the elected subject matter of tetrahydropyrimidines</u>.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1624

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (703) 305-1879. The examiner

can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556. Any inquiry

of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Page 9

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

May 5, 2003